*Annex 3*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **University POLITEHNICA  of Bucharest** |  | **Doctoral school of**  **Automatic Control and Computers** |
| **Contract of university doctorate   studies no. .............................** | | |

**REPORT**

from the date of .................................

concerning the presentation of the Ph.D. thesis in the presence of the scientific coordinator and the Advisory team and the **APPROVAL** of thesis submission to the Doctorate office, in order to organize the public defence:

Title of the Ph.D. thesis: ……………………………………..............................................................................

……………………………………………………………………………………......................

Author: ………………………………………………………………………….......................

Year of enrolment: ………………………

Scientific coordinator: ………………………………………………….……........................

Doctorate domain: …………………………………………………….…….......................

Doctoral school: Automatic Control and Computers

The scientific coordinator, the members of the Advisory team and the following persons (from the scientific coordinator’s research group) have participated to the presentation (the scientific title/quality of Ph.D. student will be mentioned):

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………....................................................................................................................

The Ph.D. student has presented the thesis and, upon its analysis and discussions the scientific coordinator and the members of the Advisory team have decided the following:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Surname and name | Quality: Thesis director / member of the Advisory team | Approval expressed concerning the public defence: YES or NO | Signature |
|  | Scientific coordinator |  |  |
|  | Member |  |  |
|  | Member |  |  |
|  | Member |  |  |

The following observations and recommendations have been made:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

It was decided (the adopted decision is marked with ×):

* The approval is given for official submission of the Ph.D. thesis and the organization of the public defence.
* The approval is not given for official submission of the Ph.D. thesis and the organization of the public defence for the following reasons:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

The scientific coordinator proposes the reformation of the thesis until the date of ……………………., after which the thesis will be reanalysed both from the point of view of its content and similarity by the Advisory team.